

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-2312-2014

Date of Decision: 21.04.2023

Babu Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 07.06.2014 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar, whereby, the case was remanded to the trial Court in an appeal filed by the petitioner against the judgment dated 15.10.2013 and order of sentence dated 16.10.2013 passed by the learned JMIC, Hisar.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Vikram Singh has submitted that this Court vide order dated 21.08.2014 directed the trial Court to adjourn the case beyond the date fixed before this court. However, thereafter vide order dated 19.01.2015 the interim stay granted by this Court vide order dated 21.08.2014 was vacated. He submits that resultantly the trial commenced. He further submits that thereafter the trial is concluded and the petitioner has been convicted by the trial Court vide order dated 16.08.2022. He submits that the petitioner has filed an appeal against the order of the trial Court.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

21.04.2023
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No