

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(122)

CRM-M-27480-2022

Date of Decision : July 17, 2023

Mohni Kumar and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Jaswinder Singh Arora, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioners is for quashing of FIR No.61 dated 30.04.2022 registered under Sections 307, 341, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC, 1860 and Sections 25, 27, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 06.05.2022 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

2. It may be noticed that present petition was filed in the year 2022 and the same has already been adjourned five times as no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioners since day one.

3. The averments made in the petition clearly go to show that in the present petition, Section 307 of the IPC as well as provisions of Arms

Act have been invoked.

4. Keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Criminal Appeal No. 349 of 2019 titled as State of Madhya Pradesh vs. Laxmi Narayan and others, decided on 05.03.2019***, Section 307 of the IPC or the provisions of Arms Act cannot be compromised so as to quash the FIR in question.

5. In view of the above, no ground is made out to accept the prayer of the petitioners in the present petition.

6. Dismissed.

July 17, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No