

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRM-M-26419-2023

Date of decision: 31.05.2023

Ranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.3 and 4 to look into the representation of the petitioner dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-2) and take legal action against the private respondents, as per law.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a representation was given on 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, however, respondent No.3 had failed to come to his rescue. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on her complaint.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, is directed to look into his

grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-2), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

31.05.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No