

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA-694-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 23.11.2023

Mohan Sharma
...Appellant(s)
Versus
Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula and another
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate,
for the appellant.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.
* * * *

RITU BAHRI, A.C.J. (ORAL)

CM-1808-LPA-2023

For the reasons mentioned therein, the delay of 58 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

The application stands disposed of.

LPA-694-2023

This appeal is directed against the judgement and order dated 21.02.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition filed by the petitioner (appellant herein).

Learned counsel for the appellant has argued that the appellant has secured higher marks in written examination and the candidate who has got lower marks than him has been given an appointment on the ground that he is senior to him in age. However, this argument is liable to be rejected on the ground that total marks were calculated for the purposes of appointment after adding the marks of written examination and interview marks and even if the appellant has got higher marks in the written examination and the candidate has got lower marks, but after adding the interview marks he has got the same marks as the appellant, the person who is higher in age will be given a preference.

In view of the aforesaid, we do not find any illegality or perversity in the impugned judgement and order passed by the learned Single Judge which may call for our interference.

The appeal being meritless is, accordingly, dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

23.11.2023
Amodh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No