

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(111)

CR-3268-2023

Date of Decision : 26.05.2023

Gaurav Kalra

...Petitioner

Versus

Satinder Chhatwal and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Dr. Sumati Jund, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed for setting-aside the order dated 07.08.2019 (Annexure P-4) and order dated 12.04.2023 (Annexure P-8), whereby the plaintiffs have been directed to pay the CAM charges to defendant No. 3.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that it has already come on record that the statement, which has been recorded by the court below is only of the plaintiff No. 1 and 3 and not of the petitioner herein, who is plaintiff No. 2, hence, the same could not be made applicable upon the petitioner/plaintiff No. 2.

3. On being asked, as to what is the prejudice, is being suffered by the petitioner in view of the impugned order, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is receiving notices for deposit of the money.

4. In this regard, in case the petitioner is aggrieved against any action of the Society in sending him notices, the petitioner will be free to avail appropriate remedy qua the said notices in case, he feels that he is not liable to pay the amount as being demanded either before the civil court or by filing any appropriate application in the suit itself or by filing representation before the Registrar Cooperative Society for the redressal of his grievance.

5. The present petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

May 26, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No