

123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4981-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.05.2023

Ruksana and another ...Petitioners
vs.

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S. Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

CRM-W-739-2023

1. Prayer in the application is for seeking exemption to place on record aadhar card of petitioner and further seeking to place on record self affidavit of applicant/petitioner No.1 as Annexure P-1 regarding age.
2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. and affidavit of applicant/petitioner No.1 as Annexure P-1 is taken on record.

CRWP-4981-2023

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that inadvertently, the respondent No.2 has been mentioned as Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar, whereas the correct designation is Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur.
2. On oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the prayer is allowed. The Registry of the Court is directed to carry out the necessary correction in the memo of parties.
3. The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No.3 to 14 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

CRWP-4981-2023

-2-

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 17.05.2023 (Annexure P-4) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 17.05.2023 (Annexure P-4).

5. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 and 2 only, at this stage.

6. On the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S.Tiwana, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

8. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the matter and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

9. This order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

10. With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

22.05.2023
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No