

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.262

CRM-M-26184-2023

Date of Decision : May 24, 2023

Raju Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Atul Pratap Dhankhar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Short reply dated 23.05.2023 has been filed in Court on behalf of the State of Haryana. The same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Based thereupon, learned State counsel submits that the marriage of the petitioner is in fact fixed for 26.05.2023.

3. Thus, the petition is partly allowed. It is directed that the petitioner be released on interim bail for a period of seven days on furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. The bonds will be commensurate with the amount of money mentioned in the FIR.

May 24, 2023

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No