

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

113

2023:PHHC:076049
CRM-M-26684-2023
DECIDED ON: 25.05.2023

Anita

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr.Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the police have not conducted fair investigation of the case FIR No. 64/07.04.2023, under Sections 186/188/323/353/354/506 and 509 IPC registered at Police Station Bond Kalan and the investigation should be conducted by some senior police officer.

Per contra, learned State counsel has informed that the charge sheet has already been filed on 20.05.2023 under various Sections of IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that several other persons who were witnesses to the incidence have not been made as witnesses in the charge sheet.

The grievance can be reasonably taken up by the concerned Court if so required at the appropriate stage.

Presently, no interference is called for in view of the statement made by learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sandeep, Police

Station Bond Kalan, District Charkhi Dadri, that challan has already been filed on 20.05.2023, the petition is dismissed.

25.05.2023
mamta

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No