

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

254 CRM-M-30128-2021
Date of Decision: 21.04.2023

Radhe Ram Godara Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Yogeshwar D. Kaushik, Legal Aid Counsel.
Mr. Manish Bansal, D.A.G, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Legal aid counsel submits that the petitioner has engaged his private counsel and he has been discharged. Given above, Mr. Yogeshwar D. Kaushik, Legal Aid Counsel is discharged today.

2. Mr. Tejas Bansal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of petitioner and filed memo of appearance, which is taken on record. Counsel for the petitioner submits that amount of fraud was more than Rs. 3.5 crores and a large number of people are involved and all of them want justice.

3. State counsel submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous because three persons have already been arrested and are being prosecuted.

4. Given the stand of the petitioner which seems correct, in case, the investigator wants to make one of the accused as an approver and files an application for further investigation, the trial Court shall pass the order in accordance with law.

5. With the above observations, the present petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner as well as investigator to file an appropriate application for further investigation before the trial Court. It is clarified that further investigation shall be done after taking formal permission from the concerned trial Court. It is further clarified that before passing the order of further investigation, appropriate opportunity shall be given to all the stake holders in accordance with law.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

21.04.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No