

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-11357-2023

Date of decision : 25.05.2023

Mohd. Dilshad

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab School Education Board and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPresent: Mr.Saleem Akhtar, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr.Ferry Sofat, Addl.A.G. Punjab
for the respondents.**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents no.1 and 2 to correct the date of birth of the petitioner from 10.12.1999 to 03.12.1999 as well as to correct the name of father of the petitioner from Suraaj Mohd to Suraj Mohd in the matriculation certificate which is in the record of the respondent-Board and further issue a fresh 10th class certificate with the corrected particulars.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the entire issue with respect to changes/corrections to be made in the certificate issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education has been adjudicated upon by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a detailed judgment passed in case titled as

Jigyada Yadav (minor) (through Guardian/father Hari Singh) Vs. CBSE

(Central Board of Secondary Education) and others and other connected matters reported as **2021(7) SCC 535** by a three Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has submitted that law laid down in the abovesaid judgment would also apply to respondent no.1-Board and has prayed that the petitioner be permitted to file a representation keeping in view the parameters laid down in the abovesaid judgment and prays that the respondent be directed to consider the said representation and pass a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date the said representation is received by the respondent.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- i) The petitioner is granted liberty to file a detailed representation and annex all the documents in light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jigyada Yadav (minor)'s** case (Supra). Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner would submit the said representation along with certified copies of the relevant record and would get the said representation forwarded through the school.
- ii) The respondent no.1 is directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 25, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No