

CWP-11257-2023

. 2023:PHHC:122330

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

265

CWP-11257-2023
Date of Decision :-14.09.2023

Sukhvinder and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the present petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that though, they are entitled for the grant of arrears of overtime work performed by them along with interest but the same has not been granted by the respondents and that too without any valid justification.

Learned State counsel submits that no data with regard to the over time work allegedly done by the petitioners has been mentioned in the present petition and in the absence of any such factual averments in the petition, the claim of the petitioners cannot be considered.

Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioners giving details of the days on which they have performed the overtime work, the same will be compared

CWP-11257-2023

. 2023:PHHC:122330

with the record and in case the petitioners are found entitled for the grant of salary for overtime work, the same will be released to them.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file an appropriate representation with the respondents by giving details of the overtime work, which the petitioners had performed.

Ordered accordingly.

September 14, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No