

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30757-2021

Date of Decision: March 23, 2023

SHADAB RANA @ RUNGA AND ANR

..... **Petitioners**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

..... **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. V.K. Gupta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate for

Mr. K.S. Rana, Advocate for respondents No.2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. the petitioners pray for quashing FIR No.69, dated 14.08.2018, under Sections 341, 323, 325, 506 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station City-1, Malerkotla District Sangrur along with all consequential proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise dated 30.01.2020.

2. As per allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners along with some unknown persons attacked the complainant with iron punch and threatened to kill him.

3. In pursuance to an order dated 07.02.2023 passed by this Court whereby, the parties were directed to appear before trial Court for getting their statements recorded as regards the veracity of the compromise arrived at between them, a report dated 06.03.2023 has been received from the concerned Court, stating that the compromise in the present case is genuine voluntary, without any pressure or undue influence. There is no other accused except the present petitioners and there is one complainant namely Mohd. Aamir.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that once, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent No.2 has no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioners; the dispute purely personal in nature, there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR. He also submits that even, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

5 On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that allegations in the present FIR are of serious nature and he opposes the prayer made in the petition.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the records including the report dated 06.03.2023. The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the directions issued by this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR(Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***”

CRM-M-30757-2021

-3-

7. Thus, in view of the aforesaid report, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgments, the petition is allowed No. 69, dated 14.08.2018, under Sections 341, 323, 325, 506 and 34 IPC along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed.

8. The aforesaid order shall however be subject to payment of Costs of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Association Lawyer’s Family Welfare Fund having account No. 41564846387 with State Bank of India, High Court Branch, Chandigarh, within a period of two weeks from today.

23.03.2023
tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>

