

253

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13454-2020

Date of decision : 16.02.2023

Nikhil Thamman

...Petitioner

Versus

Panjab University and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Sunaina, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate and
Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. R.K. Hooda, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 04.08.2020 (Annexure P-2) vide which, no relief has been granted in lieu of the semester fees and also act of the respondents in wanting advance payments in full amounting to Rs.51,665/- (University fees) + Rs.9300 (Department funds) for the upcoming semester (August-December). Other prayers have also been made in the present Civil Writ Petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the

grievances of the petitioner, the petitioner has given representation dated 12.08.2020 (Annexure P-3) and has submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case, Registrar of Panjab University takes a decision on the same.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 has submitted that decision on representation dated 12.08.2020 (Annexure P-3) would be taken in accordance with law and in case, any adjustment is to be made regarding fee, then the same would be done and in case, the case of the petitioner is to be rejected, then a speaking order would be passed.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to Registrar of Panjab University to take a decision on representation dated 12.08.2020 (Annexure P-3) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

In case, the Registrar of Panjab University rejects the claim of the present petitioner, then a speaking order would be passed in the said regard.

It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case of the petitioner and the representation would be considered by the Registrar independently, on its merits, in accordance with law.

16.02.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No