

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP-11317-2023

Date of decision: - 24.05.2023

Muskan Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of School Education and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sukhdev S. Gopera, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to correct name of the petitioner from 'Muskan' to 'Muskan Rani' in the Senior Secondary Certificate dated 30.07.2021 (Annexure P-2) and in all other records of the respondents and to issue fresh Senior School Certificate to the petitioner with full name as 'Muskan Rani'.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the entire issue with respect to changes/corrections to be made in the certificate issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education has been adjudicated upon by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a detailed judgment

passed in case titled as “**Jigya Yadav (minor) (through Guardian/father Hari Singh) Vs. CBSE (Central Board of Secondary Education) and others**”, and other connected matters reported as **2021(7) SCC 535** by a three Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has prayed that the petitioner be permitted to file a representation keeping in view the parameters laid down in the abovesaid judgment and further prays that the respondents be directed to consider the said representation and pass a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date the said representation is received by the respondents.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and has stated that they have no objection to the said course of action.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- i) The petitioner is granted liberty to file a detailed representation and annex all the documents in light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jigya Yadav (minor)'s case** (Supra). Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner would submit the said representation along with certified copies of the relevant record and would get the said representation forwarded through the school.
- ii) Respondent No.1 is directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation, in accordance with law.

5. Needless to mention here that it would be open to respondent

No.1-Board to require the petitioner or the school to submit any document which would be required for finally considering the case of the petitioner.

May 24, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No