

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

223

CRM-M-26235-2023
Date of Decision: 31.05.2023

Rakhi Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel on instruction from ASI Raghuvir Singh, submits that the petitioner wanted to solemnize marriage with one Pardeep Kumar, which was however not acceptable to respondents No. 4 and 5. Now respondents No. 4 and 5 have given statements to the effect that in case the petitioner wishes to get married to a boy of her own choice, they would not have any objection to it. He further submits that in view of the statements made by respondents No. 4 and 5, the alleged threat perception was no longer in existence.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is disposed of.

However, in case petitioner apprehends threat to her life at the hands of the private respondents in the future she would be at liberty to approach the official respondents.

[MANJARI NEHRU KAUL]
JUDGE

May 31, 2023
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no