

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

138

2023:PHHC:134272

CR-1972-2021

Date of decision: 16.10.2023

RAJNI

..Petitioner

Versus

VIJAYA BANK

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Johar, Advocate
& Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate
for respondent-Bank.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. A bare perusal of the impugned order reveals that this revision petition has been filed against order passed by the First Appellate Court while deciding a miscellaneous appeal filed against an order passed by the trial Court while deciding an application for grant of temporary injunction under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure.
2. The learned counsel representing the respondent submits that the revision petition is rendered infructuous as the main suit has already been decided.
3. The learned counsel representing the petitioner is not present.
4. Hence, the revision petition is dismissed as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to file an application for revival, if the facts are otherwise.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 16th, 2023

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No