

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-1128-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.08.2023**

PROMILA**....Petitioner**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA**....Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: - Mr. Ribhav Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

Learned State counsel has pointed out that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous by passing of the judgment of conviction in the judgment dated 13.02.2023 by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-cum- Special Court, Rohtak in Sessions Case No.RBT-32-2013/2022 titled as 'State Versus Promila and Dr. Baljeet'.

2. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petition may be disposed of having been rendered infructuous.
3. Ordered accordingly.
4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

04.08.2023

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |