

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-25835-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.09.2023

Nitish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

Mr. Manvendra Singh Bishnoi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 472 dated 24.11.2021 registered under Sections 120-B, 419, 420, 467, 468 IPC, at Kotwali Police Station, District Faridabad.
2. Vide order dated 22.05.2023, ad interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 22.05.2023, the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further submits that the matter has also been compromised between the parties and in CRM-M-15720-2023 preferred for quashing of the present FIR on the basis of the compromise, the parties have been relegated to record their respective statements qua the same.

- 4. Learned counsel for the complainant does not dispute the factum of compromise.
- 5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from PSI Chetan, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation.
- 6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
- 7. In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 22.05.2023 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions envisaged in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

05.09.2023
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No