

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-11174-2023
Date of Decision : 24.07.2023

Sachin Yadav

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Vipin Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Bhatia, Advocate
for the respondent-UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-7) whereby his provisional appointment order has been cancelled.

The petitioner in his application did not disclose factum of FIR registered against him. The respondent, on account of non-disclosure of factum of FIR, has cancelled candidature of the petitioner. The present case is squarely covered by the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satish Chandra Yadav vs. Union of India, 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 798.**

On being confronted with aforesaid fact, learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert the same.

The petition stands dismissed in view of aforesaid judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

24.07.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No