

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

150

2023:PHHC:135090

1. CR-3176-2023

Date of decision: 17.10.2023

GOURAV SAPRA

..Petitioner

Versus

RUPAM

..Respondent

2. CR-3477-2023

RUPAM

..Petitioner

Versus

GOURAV SAPRA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CR-3176-2023)
for respondent (in CR-3477-2023).

Mr. Manoj Kumar Kush, Advocate
& Mr. Aryavart, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CR-3477-2023)
for respondent (in CR-3176-2023).

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. These two revision petitions are arising from the litigation stemming out from the marital discord between the parties. In these two revision petitions, the correctness of the maintenance *pendente lite* at the rate of Rs.15,000/- granted in favour of the wife and a minor child is challenged.

2. On the one hand, the husband claims that he is earning only Rs.25,000/- per month and is maintaining his aged mother and therefore, the maintenance at the rate of Rs.15,000/- is excessive.

3. On the other hand, the respondent-wife has also filed a separate revision petition claiming that her husband is running four different firms namely M/s Sapra Enterprises, M/s Krishna Enterprises, M/s Tech Zone and

M/s PRMO Devoir enterprises. The learned counsel representing the wife submits that all the four firms are filing their return. It has also been projected by the learned counsel representing the petitioner that the wife is working in ‘Make my trip’ travel company, however, she has not disclosed her source of income to the Court.

4. After having heard the learned counsel representing the parties, this Court is of the opinion that as directed by the Supreme Court, both the parties are required to file their affidavits disclosing the source of their income and assets.

5. In this case, certain facts have come on record, which have not been noticed by the trial Court while passing the impugned order.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid fact, the revision petitions are disposed with liberty to the parties to file an application for modification/recall of the order along with their affidavits disclosing their respective income and assets.

7. It is expected that the Family Court would decide the applications, if any, filed within a period of two months, from today, positively.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 17th, 2023
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No