

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh**Civil Writ Petition No. 11109 of 2023****Date of Decision: 22.05.2023**

Surjit Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Supreet Singh, Advocate
for Mr. P.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The present case was passed over on two different occasions as the learned counsel representing the petitioner was not present. In the third round, a request for an adjournment has been made on account of the non-availability of the learned counsel representing the petitioner.

2. On a Court question, the learned counsel expresses his inability to disclose the reasons for the absence of the learned counsel representing the petitioner.

3. The writ petition is listed in the urgent list. The petitioner, on attaining the age of superannuation, retired on 31.07.2018. He prays for removal of pay anomaly on the ground that the person subsequently appointed was getting more pay than the petitioner.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the petitioner, if so advised, may avail the alternative remedy, subject to the limitation. The present writ petition is disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

May 22, 2023
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No