

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(232)

CWP No. 12725 of 2020
Date of Decision : 28.08.2023

Ramphal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ajay Redhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present petition, the challenge is to the transfer order dated 17.08.2020 (Annexure P-4), which order has already been stayed by this Court while issuing notice of motion.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner has completed more than the minimum stay required even under the orders of the Court, hence, the petitioner should not raise any grievance.

Keeping in view the fact that petitioner continues to serve on the same post, on which the petitioner was working prior to the transfer and has already completed the minimum stay at the said station, the respondents would be at liberty in case, they deem it fit to consider the

petitioner’s transfer in the next general transfer. The impugned order be not given effect.

Disposed of.

August 28, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No