

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25534 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 5th July, 2023

Raghveer Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Amarinder Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. H. S. Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This petition is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 8 dated 7.1.2023, under Section 409 IPC, registered at Police Station Dayalpura, District Bathinda.
2. As per the case set up, the petitioner while being Secretary of Co-operative Society, Dayalpura embezzled approximately Rs.23,75,000/-. He collected the amount on behalf of the Society and had not deposited.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 20.1.2023, investigation is complete, charge sheet has been filed and no recovery is to be made. It is argued that it is a case of false implication as there was dispute between the Society and the petitioner on account of non-payment of retiral benefits of the petitioner and FIR is a counter blast.
4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail, however on instructions fairly submits that investigation qua the petitioner is complete and the petitioner is not involved in any other case under IPC.
5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, having conspectus of the facts and considering that investigation is complete, no

recovery is to be made. the petitioner is not involved in any other case under IPC and there is an alleged backdrop to the registration of the FIR, the petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

6. The petition is allowed.

7.

Since the main petition has been disposed of, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

5th July, 2023
mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes / No
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes / No