

2023:PHHC:073572

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10838-2023

Date of decision : 18.05.2023

Boota Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Preeti Grover, Advocate for
Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate
for the respondents.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

Counsels are *ad idem* that the matter in issue would be covered by ratio of judgment rendered in ***Shavinder Singh Vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Limited & others*** (Annexure P-9), wherein Coordinate Bench while dealing with the claim of the employees for time bound pay scale on completion of 23 years held as under:-

“xx xx xx

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-Corporation, who is being assisted by Mr. Naresh Kumar Sharma, Deputy Secretary, Finance, PSPCL, Patiala, submits that being a welfare corporation, the Corporation does not want to deny a legitimate claim of any of its employee in case covered under the rules/instructions. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that though, the claim of the petitioners have been declined by the authorities concerned, which order has

2023:PHHC:073572

been challenged by them in the present proceedings but, the corporation is not averse of reconsideration in case, any of the petitioners represents against the impugned order pointing towards any factual discrepancy in the order declining them the benefits. Learned counsel for the respondents-Corporation further submits that he has instructions to submit that the Corporation will consider the claim of each employee, who has approached this Court afresh keeping in view the instructions which were prevalent on the date of his/her eligibility for the grant of 23 years promotional increment.

Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that the only request of the Corporation is that the employee concerned should file appropriate claim bringing out the discrepancy, which according to them, is part of the impugned order, which needs consideration at the hands of the Corporation. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that the grounds given for declining of the claim of the petitioner already, which have been impugned in the present petitions, will not be taken as a ground ipso facto to decline the claim again without rendering appropriate consideration to the claim which will be raised by the petitioners and objection to the impugned orders, will be considered with open mind subject to the eligibility as envisaged under the instructions which were prevalent at the time when the said petitioners became eligible for the grant of promotional increment on completion of 23 years of service and appropriate speaking order will be passed in each case. Learned counsel for the respondents-Corporation further submit that in case after reconsideration, claim of any employee is found to be genuine, he/she will be granted the benefit and the impugned order passed will be suitably modified.

2023:PHHC:073572

Learned counsel for the respondents further clarifies/submits that it may be a case that an employee might be ineligible on a particular date when he completes 23 years of service but in case he remains in service and thereafter, becomes eligible due to subsequent notification issued by the respondents-Corporation, the Corporation will consider his/her case on the said date of eligibility also.

This Court has been assured by the respondents that the orders already passed declining the claim of the petitioners will not be taken into account in case, an employee makes out a case for reconsideration of the same in the representation which is to be submitted after the passing of the order in the present case.

Proposal of reconsideration of the cases by the Corporation is accepted by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s).

Keeping in view the above assurance given by the Corporation, the impugned orders are not being set aside as Corporation has undertaken to reconsider the issue on case to case basis in case, the petitioners raise any grievance qua the same before the authorities concerned.

The petitioners are granted time upto 31.08.2022 to raise objections before the respondents-Corporation in respect of any orders, which have been passed by the respondents-Corporation declining them the grant of promotional increment after rendering 23 years of service.

The time limit for filing the objections has been set as the petitioners are in litigation since long and most of them have retired from service.

The present writ petitions are hereby disposed of with the direction to the respondents-Corporation that in case, any of the petitioners, raise any grievance, within the

2023:PHHC:073572

time limit specified hereinbefore, with regard to the declining of their claim by the authorities concerned by pointing out certain factual aspect or bringing to the notice of the authorities that they are eligible for the grant of the benefit of promotional increment after 23 years of service, the Corporation, as undertaken, will pass appropriate orders on their representation within a period of three months from the receipt of the same and in case, after passing of the order, the petitioners are found entitled for any benefit, the same be also released to them within a period of four weeks thereafter.”

2. In view of above, present writ petition is disposed off with the directions to the respondents to decide the claim of the petitioner as would be raised in the representation filed upto 30.06.2023 within a period of 03 months thereafter. Needless to say in case the petitioner is found to be entitled for any benefit, the same would be released within a period of 04 weeks thereafter. However, in case the authorities opine that the claim of the petitioner merits rejection, the same shall be adjudicated by passing a speaking order.

3. Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

18.05.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No