

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(114)

CWP-10889-2023

Date of decision: - 25.05.2023

Mehtab and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 5.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate decision upon the representation dated 19.03.2023 (Annexure P-8) moved by the petitioners.

2. On 19.05.2023, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the Canal Authorities are trying to take forcible possession from the petitioners.

Learned State Counsel prays for an adjournment to verify the said fact.

Adjourned to 25.05.2023.

Status quo regarding possession be maintained till the next date of hearing.

19.05.2023

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Jatinder Singh, SDO Canal, Julana, District Jind, has submitted that no action on the representation given by respondent No.7 would be taken in the present matter and in case any application is submitted by the legal representatives of respondent No.6, then, the appropriate action would be taken by resorting to the provisions of the Haryana Canal and Drainage Act, 1974 in accordance with law and in accordance with the orders passed by the said authorities.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present writ petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but prays that the respondents-State be bound by the said statement.

5. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

6. Respondents-State would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

May 25, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No