

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.25588 of 2023
Date of decision: 19th May, 2023

Atul Khatana

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of blanket bail as he apprehends that he could be implicated in a false criminal case for offences under Sections 386, 120B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 by the police of District Gurugram.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the police in connivance with influential persons, have been subjecting the petitioner to continuous harassment since long and two false cases have already been registered against them. He submits that yet again there is likelihood that another false case could be registered against the petitioner under Sections 386, 120B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act.

3. I have heard learned counsel and perused the relevant material on record.

4. This Court does not find any merit in the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner. It is a matter of record that no FIR stands registered against the petitioner after the registration of two other criminal cases against him. Merely on the basis of a vague apprehension that the petitioner could be implicated in some criminal case in the future, he cannot be extended the concession of blanket bail.

5. Dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 19, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No