

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4725-2023

Date of decision: 29.05.2023

Sahil Rana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India in the nature of certiorari seeking quashing of impugned order dated 03.05.2023 (Annexure P-2) whereby emergency parole to the petitioner has been rejected by the authorities.

On receipt of advance copy of the petition, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has already filed CRM-23322-2023 in CRA-S-1354-2020 seeking interim suspension of sentence for six weeks enabling him to look after his ailing father and get his father operated from specialized hospital as his father is suffering from serious ailment, in which notice has been issued for 04.07.2023. She further submits that the petitioner cannot file two applications/petitions at the same time seeking same relief and therefore, the instant petition is not maintainable.

In view of the above fact, the instant petition stands disposed of as not being maintainable.

29.05.2023

Parveen kumar

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No