

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24950-2023
DECIDED ON: 17.05.2023

NILAKASH GAUTAM @ LIKNESH GAUTAM @ FAUJI AND
OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Birinder Singh Khehar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.55, dated 04.03.2023, under Sections 307, 341, 323, 148, 149, 506 of the IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Kharar, District SAS Nagar (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the allegations whatsoever qua all the four petitioners are of causing injury with a blunt weapon and above all, the matter has now been settled amicably and the parties are ready to live at peace.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State, who on instructions from ASI Sikandar Singh submits that the State has no objection in case, the parties have settled the matter and the petitioners are granted the anticipatory bail at this stage. He

further states that in fact the complainant have suffered simple injures as per the medical record and, therefore, the custodial interrogation is not required.

Mr. Hemant Saini, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant-respondent No.2, who does not controvert the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel.

Having perused the contents of FIR and after hearing the counsel for the respective parties, this Court is of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by rejecting the instant petition and sending the petitioners behind the bars, therefore, the petition deserves to be allowed.

Hence, the petitioners are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing personal surety/security bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

17.05.2023

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>