

**2023:PHHC:073158**

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH  
CRWP-4840-2023  
Date of Decision: 19.05.2023**

**BIMLA DEVI AND ANOTHER**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh Narwal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)**

The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 for protecting their lives and personal liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 9.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that both the petitioners are major, aged above 32 years and above 36 years respectively. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The learned counsel for petitioners further contends that petitioners are staying in live-in relationship but private respondents are against their relationship as respondent Nos. 4 and 5 wants to marry petitioner No. 1 with their own choice and private respondent Nos. 6 to 9 are against their relationship because petitioner No. 2 is already married with respondent No. 8 but the petitioner No. 2 is living separately from respondent No. 8 since last 9 years. It is further submitted that petitioner No. 2 was earlier married with respondent No. 8 on 31.01.2023 and out of the wedlock one female child namely Alisha was born on 17.11.2013 and the dispute arose between the petitioner No. 2 and respondent No. 8 and thereafter petitioner No. 2 is residing separately from respondent No. 8 from 28.11.2013. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty and claim that there is a constant danger of being

that a representation dated 11.05.2023 (Annexure P-3) has also been sent by the petitioners to the respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, District Kurukshetra and the same has not been decided till date and he would be satisfied if the said representation is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and has no objection if appropriate directions are given for deciding the representation submitted by the petitioners.

Therefore, without examining the question of legality and validity of the relationship of the petitioners and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, District Kurukshetra, to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances, in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, District Kurukshetra, for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of

19.05.2023  
Ajay Goswami

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |