

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

237

CRM-M-23669-2020

Date of decision: 19.04.2023

Inder Pal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. N.S. Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has invoked the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of directions for conducting an inquiry into his representation dated 01.01.2020 (Annexure P-4) from a Special Investigation Team (SIT), outside the district of Hoshiarpur.

2. Affidavit of Ranbir Singh Khatra, IPS, DIG Jalandhar Range Jalandhar in compliance of order dated 21.08.2020 has been filed in Registry of this Court which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

3. It is the specific grievance of the petitioner that the inquiry which was conducted by the official respondents and the report which was submitted pursuant thereto dated 11.03.2020 (Annexure P-7) clearly reflects that it had not been carried out in accordance with the law and rather a biased approach had been taken by the official

respondents.

4. Learned State counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the affidavit dated 30.10.2020 filed by DIG Jalandhar Range Jalandhar in compliance of order dated 21.08.2020. Learned State counsel has also drawn the attention of this Court to the report by the SIT dated 30.10.2020 wherein the said team after inquiring into the complaint dated 01.01.2020 (Annexure P-4) did not find any substance in the allegations levelled. Learned State counsel submits that in view of the second inquiry having been conducted by an SIT headed by none other than the SP Headquarters, no further steps were required to be taken as far as the complaint of the petitioner was concerned.

5. In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he would not press the instant petition and would instead avail of alternate remedies as may be available to him under the provisions of law.

6. Dismissed as not pressed with aforesaid liberty.

19.04.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No