

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

101

2023:PHHC:072322
CRM-M-25293-2023
DECIDED ON: 18.05.2023

Sukhvir SinghPetitioner

VERSUS

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaiteshwar S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complainant, who is wife of the petitioner, had made allegations regarding having beaten her and also states that for one year, the petitioner had not returned to home. Case under Sections 406, 498-A, 354-A IPC has been registered with regard to incident one year back. Annexure P-1 points out that earlier a compromise had been arrived at between the parties and the parents of the petitioner were living separate, whereafter, now the present complaint has been filed by the wife against her husband.

I have considered the submissions.

Taking into consideration that the husband was not living with his wife for almost one year from the date of alleged incident, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Allowed.

18.05.2023
mamta

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No