

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-21084 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.01.2023

Narinder Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Karanveer Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner prays for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner as Peon. The recruitment notice for inviting applications for a single post of Peon was issued on 08.04.2017.

Ms. Diksha Rani was selected. However, before the appointment letter could be issued, the candidate Sh. Roopdeen, Sr. No.3 in the merit list, filed a Civil Writ Petition No.11179 of 2017 (**Roopdeen vs. State of Punjab and others**) in which a stay on the appointment was granted. After a period of 5 years, Ms. Diksha Rani made a statement that she is no more interested in joining her duties. Thus, the writ petition was disposed of.

The petitioner claims to be at Sr.No.2 of the merit list, whereas, the learned counsel representing the State of Punjab submits that the petitioner is at Sr.No.3 of the merit list.

Sh. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, has submitted that the appointing authority no longer wishes to make an appointment from the aforesaid merit list.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the writ petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 12, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>