

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**281****CRM-M-28666-2021 (O&M)****Date of order: 25.07.2023****Gajjan Singh****.....Petitioner(s)****Vs.****State of Punjab & Another****.....Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA****Present:-** Mr. Tarun Jhatta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Arshdeep Singh Sra, Advocate for
Mr. H.S. Sehgal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Nidhi Gupta, J.

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.84 dated 05.08.2018 (Annexure **P-1**) under Sections 376, 420 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of affidavit dated 19.07.2019 (Annexure P2) and statement of respondent No.2/complainant aged about 29 years, before the learned JMIC Hoshiarpur on 04.11.2019 (Annexure P4) as the FIR has already been quashed on the basis of compromise against the main accused Amrinder Singh, Pritam Singh and Paramjeet Kaur vide CRM-M-39879-2019 (Annexure P3).

Vide order dated 22.09.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before the concerned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for

getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 22.09.2022 with regard to the compromise.

In terms of the order dated 22.09.2022 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the Court of Mr. Simrandeep Singh Sohi, PCS, Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Hoshiarpur and as per his report dated 04.11.2022 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the above said report would show that the petitioner and respondent No.2 have appeared and suffered statements with respect to the compromise, which have been found to be voluntary, genuine, and out of free will.

Learned State Counsel has submitted that the petitioner was declared proclaimed offender in the present case on 02.01.2019. It is further submitted that rest of the accused persons are on bail.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has again reiterated that the matter has been settled and the said compromise is in the interest of all the persons and would help in bringing out peace and amity between the two parties.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file.

After perusing the report submitted by the concerned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, this Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioner and the complainant. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this

Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab”, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543**, had also observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.”

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, this petition is allowed and FIR No.84 dated 05.08.2018 (Annexure **P-1**) under Sections 376, 420 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur along with all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise, are ordered to be quashed qua the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

25.07.2023
Sunena

(Nidhi Gupta)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No