

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

273/4

CRM-M-29035-2021
Date of Decision: 02.08.2023

SI Surjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**Present:** Mr. Deepinder Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Navreet K. Barnala, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

1. This petition was filed aggrieved of order dated 04.06.2021 whereby the application for production of the call details along with location of mobile numbers mentioned therein relating in case of FIR No. 344 dated 13.07.2020 registered under Sections 22,29,65 of NDPS Act, 1985 and Sections 7,13 of the Prevention of Corruption Amendment Act, 2018 at Police Station City Barnala was rejected.

2. Learned State counsel filed the reply today. In the reply, Annexure R-I dated 30.03.2023 a communication from Airtel is attached; stating that since the record is older than two years, the company is unable to provide it.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner raises a grievance that it was due to the delay on the part of the State that no cause of action survives.

4. In view of the factual position noted above, no further directions are called for.
5. The petition is disposed of as infructuous.
6. However, petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievances.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)

JUDGE

02.08.2023

Rajeev (rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No