

Sant Gurmeet Ram Rahim Singh InsanPetitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate and
Ms. Sonia Mathur, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Advocate
Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate and
Mr. Harish Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, Standing counsel for CBI.
for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The limited grievance for which the petitioner has come up before this Court is non-supplying of the complete record including that which was neither found part of the police diaries nor was permitted as to be kept away from police report.

2. Counsel for the State on instructions from Shri Dalbir Singh Sidhu, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Barnala, states that except the police diaries and the record which they are not supposed to supply to the accused being confidential in nature or contains the name of informer, they would supply all other documents which are not supplied, within one week from today.

3. Counsel for the CBI submits that the entire record has been transferred to the investigator.

4. Given above, the investigator is directed to hand over all the documents (including the transferred documents from CBI) to the petitioner

except police diaries, documents which contain the names of the informers and the documents which according to the investigator affect the integrity of the country.

5. Petition is partly allowed to the extent mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to approach this Court again, in case of any grievance.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

24.05.2023
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no