

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Pawandeep, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. The present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No. 213, dated 12th July, 2017, under Sections 420/447/427/148/149 of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station A-Division, District Amritsar.
2. Reply filed by learned State counsel is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the FIR was quashed on the basis of compromise.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner for lack of instructions is not in a position to refuse the contention made by learned counsel for respondent No.2.
5. In view of the above noted facts, the petition is disposed of as infructuous.
6. Since the main case has been disposed of, pending application if any is rendered infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

15th September, 2023