

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.24706 of 2023  
Date of decision: 8<sup>th</sup> December, 2023

Lal Chand & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab  
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Simranjeet S. Sarwara, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 to 7.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.0238 dated 16.09.2019 under Sections 452, 323, 506, 341, 148, 149 of the IPC (later on Section 452 of IPC was deleted) registered at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 06.08.2022 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 11.08.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura, in pursuance of the direction of this Court,

wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 to 7 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ‘**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**’ (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ‘**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

December 8, 2023

*rps*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |