

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CRWP-4713-2023**Date of Decision: 31.05.2023**

Kanchan Rani and another

...Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Vipul Babuta, Advocate for
Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners as they apprehend imminent danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are major. Petitioner No. 1 was earlier married to respondent No. 4, however, later on, the differences arose between both of them. In the meantime, the petitioner No. 1 started residing with petitioner No. 2 against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 7. Even, they were threatened by respondents No. 4 to 7 and the matter was reported to the police, but the police did not take any action in the case.

Mr. Bajwa, learned State counsel submits that after the issuance of notices by this Court, the matter was inquired into by the local police. The statements of respondents No. 4 to 7 have been recorded and they have stated that they have no objection to the relationship of both the petitioners

and will not threaten them in future. Even, during the course of inquiry, no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners has been found.

In view of the statement made by the learned State counsel, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court and the petition is, accordingly, disposed off.

31.05.2023
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No