

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

101

2023:PHHC:077684
CRM-M-24456-2023
DECIDED ON: 29.05.2023

Sunil Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr.Saurabh Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Jaiteshwar S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Nitesh Singhi, Advocate, for complainant.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.6 dated 01.07.2022, under Sections 406, 498-A, 494, 354-D, 34 of IPC and Section 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Women, District SAS Nagar (Annexure P-1).

This Court directed the statement of the prosecutrix to be recorded who has stated that one video was sent to her from the petitioner's mobile which was obnoxious and apart thereof there are two other specific allegations as against the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant also has stated similar facts and also submitted that the petitioner has not handed over his mobile for verification.

Taking into consideration and all other aspects and considering the nature of allegations, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application with further direction to the petitioner to cooperate in the investigation and also if required hand over his mobile to the police for further verification.

Petition is allowed.

29.05.2023
mamta

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No