

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(116)

CWP-10469-2023**Date of decision: - 22.05.2023****Amar Kaur****....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Harchand Singh Batth, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to implement all the decisions of the competent authorities including the order passed by the SDM-cum-Collector, Agarian, Ajnala dated 16.07.1975 (Annexure P-1) order passed by the Financial Commissioner dated 27.12.1984 (Annexure P-3) and to deliver the possession of the allotted surplus land to the petitioner.

2. A perusal of the file would show that no legal notice has been issued by the petitioner to the authorities. Neither jamabandi and khasra girdawari has been annexed to show who is in possession of the land in question nor the persons alleged to be in possession have been made party to the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner in view of the above seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh petition after annexing the relevant documents and after giving full and better

particulars.

4. In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner would also refer to the relevant provisions of law to show that such a petition is maintainable.

May 22, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No