

CRM-M-25149 of 2023 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25149 of 2023 (O & M)

Date of decision: 14.07.2023

Naveen Hans

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate,  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhimanyu Vinayak, Advocate,  
for the complainant/respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)**

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 4 dated 08.01.2015 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom as well as the impugned order dated 01.12.2017 (Annexure P-2) passed by the JMIC, Jalandhar whereby the petitioner had been declared a proclaimed offender on the basis of compromise (Annexures P-3 and P-6).

CRM-M-25149 of 2023 (O & M)

::2::

Vide order dated 29.05.2023 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 29.05.2023 with regard to the compromise (Annexures P-3 and P-6).

In terms of the order dated 29.05.2023 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar and as per the report dated 13.06.2023 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioners, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Versus State of Gujarat and another,***

CRM-M-25149 of 2023 (O & M)

::3::

*Vs. State of Punjab and another, CRM-M-23739- 2010 decided on 27.04.2011, Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-37395-2016 decided on 16.05.2017, Bhoj Raj Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-24945-2019 decided on 27.09.2019 and Vimal Kalra & others Versus State of Punjab & another, CRMM-20355-2022, decided on 25.07.2022* submits that partial quashing of the FIR was possible on the basis of a compromise.

Keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in the aforementioned judgments and the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No. 4 dated 08.01.2015 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom as well as the impugned order dated 01.12.2017 (Annexure P-2) passed by the JMIC, Jalandhar whereby the petitioner had been declared a proclaimed offender are hereby quashed qua the petitioner herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI)  
JUDGE

July 14, 2023  
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No