

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

230

CRM-M-24986-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision.:18.08.2023

Rakib
Vs.
State of Haryana

.....Petitioner

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Azad Khan, Advocate for
the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.94 dated 27.05.2022 registered under Sections 364-A, 365, 34, 120-B, 420, 506 of the IPC registered at Police Station Tauru, District Nuh.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that only attribution to the petitioner is that he had supplied the weapon to the co-accused. Learned counsel further contends that there is no allegation that petitioner participated in kidnapping of the child or demanded any ransom.

3. Status report by way of an affidavit of (Om Parkash) HPS Deputy Superintendent of Police Traffic, Crime & Law and Order, Distt. Nuh has already been filed on behalf of respondent- State.

4. The facts pleaded by learned counsel for the petitioner are not refuted by learned State counsel. Custody certificate placed on record by learned State counsel reveals that petitioner is in custody for the last 07

CRM-M-24986-2023 (O&M)

months and 07 days and is involved in various other cases.

5. Having regard to the role attributed to the petitioner in the present case but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.
6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

August 18, 2023
Neetika Tuteja

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No