

2023:PHHC:127008

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

239

CRWP-5400-2022

Date of Decision: 29th September, 2023

Angrej Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed seeking directions to the respondents to release the petitioner pre-maturely in view of the policy dated 8.7.1991.

2. Brief facts are that the petitioner was convicted in FIR No. 48 dated 12.3.2007 under Section 302 read with Section 34 IPC registered at P.S. Banga, District Nawan Shahar.

3. Learned State Counsel filed reply dated 16.8.2022. In paragraph No. 4 of the reply, it was pleaded that the petitioner had not undergone ten years of actual sentence and fourteen years with remission and his case would be considered on completion of the requisite sentence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has now undergone ten years of actual sentence and fourteen years with remission.

5. Learned State Counsel on instructions submits that in case the petitioner has undergone the requisite period as per the policy, his case for pre-mature release would be considered as expeditiously as possible.
6. In view of the statement of learned State Counsel, no further directions are called for.
7. Petition is disposed of.
8. Since the main case has been disposed of, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

29th September, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No