

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

211

CRWP NO. 6752 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on : 24.01.2023.

Sunil Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Order dated 22.07.2021 says as under:

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner has filed the present petition alleging torture of the accused persons by the Police in FIR No.211 dated 11.03.2021 registered under Sections 379 and 420 IPC at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is the father of Nivash Kumar and Vikash Kumar, who have been arrested by the Police on 09.07.2021. The petitioner has specific knowledge that they have been tortured by the Police and are in a bad shape.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and prays for shot accommodation.

On request, adjourned to 06.08.2021."

On the next date of hearing i.e 06.08.2021, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Thereafter order dated 15. 09.2021 says as under:

“Taken up through video conferencing.

As per the reply filed on behalf of the State by way of affidavit of Susheela, Deputy Superintendent of Police, CAW, Rohtak, the accused have been medically examined and it has been stated as under:-

“During the period of police custody, they were got medically examined on 13.07.2021 (CHC, Kharkhoda), 14.07.2021 (CHC, Kharkhoda), 15.07.2021 (CHC, Kharkhoda), 16.07.2021 (CHC, Sampla), 18.07.2021 (Government Hospital, Patna), 20.07.2021 (General Hospital, Rohtak), 21.07.2021 (General Hospital, Bahadurgarh) and 22.07.2021 (General Hospital, Sonipat). On 22.07.2021, their COVID-19 test was also got conducted from General Hospital, Sonipat.”

Let the medical record of the accused for the said dates be placed on the record on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 07.10.2021.”

Again there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 07.10.2021. Thereafter, on 10.01.2022, matter was adjourned for 02.02.2022 on request of learned State counsel.

After that, on three consecutive dates i.e. 02.02.2022, 10.05.2022 and 18.07.2022, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Today again there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the above, present petition stands **dismissed for non-prosecution.**

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

24.01.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No