

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.204

CRM-M-24439-2023

Date of decision: 04.09.2023

Kapil @ Sachin

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. S.K.Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.01 dated 01.01.2020 registered under Sections 460, 307, 120-B, 412 and 216-A IPC and Sections 25 and 54 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Rampura, District Rewari.

(2) Since 38 out of the 39 prosecution witnesses already stand examined before the Trial Court, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage he does not press the present petition on its merits and that he would be satisfied if the same is disposed of with a direction to the trial court to finally decide the petitioner's trial in a time bound manner.

(3) Learned State counsel submits that she has no objection if the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is accepted.

(4) Since the petitioner is in custody for the last over 03 years and 07 months; the petitioner's trial is virtually over; every undertrial has a right

to a speedy trial; a direction to adjudicate upon a *lis* expeditiously prejudices none and that the learned State counsel has no objection to the acceptance of the petitioner’s afore alternate prayer, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial court to finally adjudicate upon the petitioner’s trial within six weeks from the next date fixed before it, in accordance with law.

September 04, 2023
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No