

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRM-M-24703-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.07.2023

RAHUL

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present : Mr. Pardeep Sihmar, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ. J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed by petitioner under Section 482 Cr.P.C for directing respondents No.1 and 4 to register FIR against the respondents No.5 and 8 under Sections 307, 323, 341, 120-B and 506 IPC as the local police i.e. respondent No.4 in collusion with the respondents No.5 and 8, and under their influence is acting illegally and is not registering the case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a representation dated 02.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) given by petitioner to the official respondents No.1 to 4 for registering the FIR against respondents No.5 to 8, who had attacked the petitioner and pressurized him to compromise the case bearing FIR No.180 dated 06.11.2022 registered at Women Police Station, Jind under Section 4 of POCSO and Sections 363, 366-A, 376, 452 and 3(2)(v) of SC/ST Act is not being considered. It is pointed out by learned counsel that this FIR was registered at the instance of petitioner against Bintu alias Anil, who is the family member of respondents No.5 to 8, in respect of an occurrence dated 05.11.2022, wherein, the statements of witnesses are being recorded, and the accused had threatened the

petitioner to depose in their favour. Learned counsel further submits that despite repeated requests no action is being taken upon his representation, therefore, interference of this Court is required for registration of a case against the private respondents.

During the course of hearing, learned counsel is unable to show any material on record to show the injuries, if any, suffered by him or any other person, and further the representation is based upon bald averments that the accused had threatened and attacked the petitioner (complainant).

Besides in respect of the grievance raised in this petition, the petitioner can avail the alternative remedy, therefore, this Court is not inclined to exercise the inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

July 05, 2023
Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No