

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(212-2)

CRM No. M-24241 of 2023
Date of Decision : 18.07.2023

Suraj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Lovepreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the prayer of the petitioner for the grant of regular bail may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed but the trial court be directed to conclude the trial in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer indefinite incarceration.

Learned State counsel raises no objection in case, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the fact that four years have already passed since the registration of FIR and out of 38 cited witnesses, only 10 witnesses have been examined, the trial court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of six months from the next date of hearing even if

the trial is to be undertaken by giving short adjournments so as to complete the same within a period of six months.

It may be noticed that if the witnesses are not coming forward for recording of their testimonies, the trial court will be free to secure their presence by even passing a harsh order so that the trial is completed in the time frame given.

Disposed of.

July 18, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No