

2023:PHHC:069611

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP No.10463 of 2023

Date of decision: 15.05.2023

MULAK RAJ ALIAS MULAKH RAJ

...Petitioner

Versus

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. A.K. Viridi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH , J.(ORAL)

Grievance of the petitioner is that in compliance of the order dated 12.10.2022, the petitioner filed a statutory appeal against the order dated 28.06.2022 passed by the respondent No.3. The appeal has not been decided so far despite mandatory provision in terms of Regulation 11 of DHBVN (Punishment and Appeal) Regulations, 2006.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate/panelist counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.2.

In the very nature of prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is disposed of by

directing the respondent No.2 to take note of pending appeal against the order dated 28.06.2022 and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

15.05.2023

P.Bhatt

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No