

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104

CRWP-4597-2023 (O&M)
Decided on : 16.05.2023

Nidhi Kumari and Anr

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Addl. P. P., U. T. , Chandigarh.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. After hearing the present petition on 12.05.2023, following order was passed by this Court:-

*“Present: Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).*

*Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Addl PP, UT
assisted by SI Mehar Singh.*

1. *Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to official respondents No.2 & 3, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 & 5.*

2. *It is noticed by the Court that permanent address of petitioner No.2 as mentioned in the petition is of Nainital, Uttarakhand.*

3. *Learned State counsel, on instruction from SI Mehar Singh, Police Station Manimajra, Chandigarh, informs the Court that according to the verification of the address done by the Police, petitioners are not found to be residing there. Statement of landlord Gurdeep Singh has also been recorded in this regard.*

4. *Learned State counsel seeks some time to find out the other place of residence of petitioner No.2 and his working place.*

5. *Petitioners may also supply some evidence of their place of residence at the address given in the petition or of any other place within the area of UT, Chandigarh.*

6. *Adjourned to 16.05.2023.”*

2. Today, Mr. Naveen Kumar, counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition, at this stage.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

16.05.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No