

250A

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25744-2022

Date of decision :28.02.2023

BHUPINDER KUMAR & OTHERS

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Bhuvan Vats, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Navreet Kaur Barnala, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. J.K. Sehrawat, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of cross-case registered vide G.D. No.39 dated 09.05.2020 under Sections 324/34 IPC at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar in FIR No.78 dated 09.05.2020 under Sections 324, 379, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no.2.

Vide order dated 28.07.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 13.05.2022 (P-3).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 28.07.2022 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Phillaur and as per the report dated 21.10.2022 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Phillaur accompanied by statements of both the parties, the G.D. No.39 dated 09.05.2020 under Sections 324/34 IPC at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar in FIR No.78 dated 09.05.2020 under Sections 324, 379, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

28.02.2023
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No